23 Soptember 1949

HEMORIANIZAMI FOR: STANDARD CONFITTION MEMBERS OF THE IAC

SUBJECT :

Mr. Armstrong's Four Problems

BEFER WITH

Recent Standing Committee Sections

It is suggested that the following report be suisitted to the TAC members to determine what further action, if any, they desire to take. Mindly telephone your reactions to TCAPS.

REPORT TO THE IAC

The Standing Countities numbers of the IAC have held two meetings to discuss the recommendations in the four papers submitted with Mr. restrong's letter of Amoust 2nd.

PROPLER I - COORDINATION OF INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

- l. In general, all Standing Committee numbers are in agreement with Mr. Armstrong's Recommendations I-1, 2, and) pertuining to KCARS and the Standing Committee.
- 2. The Department of Defense numbers of the Standing Committee. however, consider that the functions, responsibilities, and nembership of WAPS and the Standing Committee should be as follows:

ICAP8

- a. Should be composed of full-time members contributed by the agencies represented on the IAC.
- b. Should be under the direction of and responsible to the Mirector of Central Intelligence.
- c. Should have as one of its primary responsibilities, the accomplishment of staff work in commention with the development and presentation of policies and procedures for the production and coordination of intelligence by the various agencies represented on the IAC. In matters of this sort the objective of ICAPS should be the production of an unbiased, comprehensive presentation or report

Seeltr as BEST COPY

covering all aspects of a subject. This it should be able to do because of the wide variety in training, experience, and general background of its members.

- d. Should function as a secretariat for the IAC and the Stand-ing Committee of the IAC.
- e. Should perform such other functions as the Director of Central Intelligence may direct.

THE STANDING COMMITTEE OF THE LAC

- a. Should be composed of at least one representative from each IAC agency.
- b. Should review, on behalf of the IAC, such matters as may be referred to it for action by the IAC or the IAC Secretariat (ICAPS). Normally all staff work on such matters would be accomplished by ICA'S prior to submission of items to the SC/IAC or the IAC.
- c. Could then direct its action primarily toward the following objectives:
 - (1) Presentation and discussion of revisions which SC/I/C members might consider desirable, from the standpoint of the associes they represent.
 - (2) Thorough indoctrination of all SC/I:G members in all aspects of the matter under consideration including the views of other SC/IAC members and hence the probable recommendations they will make to their respective Directors when the matter comes up for consideration by the IAC itself. (There should be no formal voting by the SC/IAC and it should be clearly understood that the views expressed by SC/IAC members will not necessarily be the same on any particular subject as those presented by their Directors when action is taken at the IAC level).
- 3. The Department of Defense members of the Standing Committee recommend that the IAC approve the substance of the above paragraphs and recommend to the ACI that it be published in appropriate form for the information and guidance of all concerned.
- he Department of Defense numbers of the Standing Committee also recommend that the remainder (I-h, II, XII, and IV) of the Department of State proposals be referred, as a first step, to ICAPS for appropriate staff action.

- 5. Nevertheless the remainder of Pr. Arestrong's four problems were discussed. The Standing Constitute agreed that the wording of Recommendation I was confusing and that it should be separated into two paragraphs to read: "in That CIA ostablish as Satimates Division in accordance with the recommendations of the Dulles Report," and that there be a new paragraph 5 reading: "5. That CIA fulfill its coordinating responsibilities in respect to intelligence production in accordance with the following principles:-."
- 6. However, only State wishes to have established an istinates Division (new paragraph I-b), as the department of Defence Agencies dissented and proposed that the subject be referred to ICAFS for further study. The CIA representative also dissented on the ground that the Director does not desire to have a new, separate "Estimates Division." AFC and the FEI abstained. The Department of Defence Agencies, in regard to the new paragraph I=5 mentioned above, recommended that the principles (a) to (f) in Mr. Armstrong's recommendations be referred to ICAFS for further study. State and AEC approved of all of these principles and FEI abstained. The CIA position at the meeting, with regard to paragraph (e), was that the obligations of this agency would not permit it to adjust its production in accordance with the departments' "existing programs;" nor could CIA agree that the assignment of personnal to TAC agencies from CIA, reference (f), was a part of its coordinating responsibilities.

PROBLEM II - PREDUCTION OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

The Separtment of Defense Agencies and the AEC accepted in principle State's recommendations for Problem II. The FBI abstained, and CIA rejected the principles expressed in Recommendation 1 (a) and 1 (c).

PROBLEM III - PESEARCH AND REPORTS

It was agreed that Recommendation III-1 should have delated the last clause and be changed to read: "That, aside from Mational Intelligence, CIA will produce intelligence reports only in fields of common concern," and that Recommendation III-2 should have added to it "for further approval by the MSC." With these changes included, the State and Sefense members agreed to the recommendations, FHI abstaining. CIA maintained it performs "services" of common concern (FBIB, etc.) but found Recommendation 1, as written, too restrictive.

PROPERT IV - POLITICAL STANGELES

The numbers of the Standing Committee agreed to this recommendation. FSI abstained.

RECORD MEATIONS BY THE STANLING CORRECTIVE

- 1. As the result of its considerations of Mr. Arastrong's four papers, the concensus of opinion of the Standing Consittee was:
 - a. That Recommendations 1, 2, and 3 of Paper I be accepted in principle as elaborated by the lefense Department nombers.
 - b. That new Recommendations h and 5 (see paragraph 5 above) of Paper I and all recommendations of Papers II, III, and IV be referred to ICAPS for appropriate action.

PRESCUTT CHILLS Odef, ICAPS

1949 SE 25 11 06

1 44 45